UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/591,747	09/06/2006	Shuya Hosokawa	2006_1386A	3422	
	7590 07/29/200 , LIND & PONACK L	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Stree	*	DONADO, FRANK E			
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>C</i> ,			2617		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/591,747	HOSOKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FRANK DONADO	2617		

F	RANK DONADO	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALI	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFF periods:	olies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensioned 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or rtened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a cor	deration and/or search (see NOT ; form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.	vable if submitted in a separate, ti will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	mely filed amendmer	t canceling the
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 29-34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a nentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and approximately ap	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea nd was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation on REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but description. See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P1 13. ☐ Other:	ГО/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Farnham not teaching the ability to detect, based on information regarding the receiving condition of received packets from the terminal, an interval at which an error rate is higher than a specified threshold within one cycle of a predetermined frequency, and to set the detected interval in subsequent cycles of the predetrmined frequency as an interval for stopping data transmission with respect to the terminal, since frequency hopping techniques include receiving transmissions at a predetermined frequency change known to both transmitter and receiver, it follows said base station will detect errors within a cycle of predetermined frequency. Also, since the QoS level is predicted during specific timeslots based on frequency-hopping techniques, an interval is set for future transmissions, where data is transmitted at a more appropriate frequency during a more appropriate timeslot, indicating no data is transmitted at a less appropriate frequency during another, less appropriate timeslot, as indicated in Paragraph 47.